



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,085	07/18/2003	William W. Mayfield	PD-970134A	3297
7590 03/25/2005			EXAMINER	
HUGHES ELECTRONICS CORPORATION			GELIN, JEAN ALLAND	
CORPORATE	PATENTS & LICENSIN	G	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P. O. BOX 956			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
BLDG. R11/MAIL STATION A109			2681	
EL SEGUNDO, CA 90245-0956			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/623,085	MAYFIELD ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jean A Gelin	2681				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nasions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Status							
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 July 2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5) <u>□</u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 18 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[] a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
3) 🔯 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 7/18/03.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/623,085

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Karabinis (US 6,052,585).

Regarding claims 1, 3, Karabinis teaches a terrestrial communication system using satellite uplink and downlink frequencies used by satellites (fig. 1) comprising: at least one terrestrial user terminal (34e) transmitting, without using said satellites, a first signal on at least one satellite downlink frequency (38) and receiving, without using said satellites, a second signal on at least one satellite uplink frequency (38) (i.e, link (38) connecting mobile 34a is the same as link (38) connecting mobile 34e, col. 4, lines 50-54).

Regarding claim 4, Karabinis teaches wherein a satellite ground user (34a) using said uplink and said downlink frequencies is geographically isolated from said terrestrial terminal unit (i.e., satellite ground unit 34a is isolated from terrestrial ground unit 34e, fig. 1).

Regarding claim 5, Karabinis teaches method of reversing the transmission and reception frequency bands for the terrestrial user relative to the satellite user (i.e., the frequency band that carries information between 34a and satellite 32 and the frequency

Art Unit: 2681

band that carries information between 34e terrestrial site 50a are different, col. 4, lines 55-56).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karabinis (US 6,052,586) in view of Dent (US 5,848,060).

Regarding claims 2 and 8, Karabinis teaches wherein said terrestrial communication system includes a signal nulling means in the direction of said satellites that produces signals using said uplink and said downlink frequencies.

However, a terrestrial cell site includes a signal nulling means in the direction of a satellite that produces signals using said uplink and said downlink frequencies is known in the art of communications, as evidenced by Dent. Dent teaches the technique of interference cancellation or creating pattern null at the center of neighboring cells can be employed as a further bonus to reduce C/I from neighboring beams to negligible proportions (i.e., signal nulling means is included in col. 50, lines 1-8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have implemented the teaching of Dent within the system of Karabinis in order to have used a negative weights to create nulls in the radiation pattern at desired places or otherwise to reduce the sidelobe levels in order to increase the C/I.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,085

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claim 6, Karabinis teaches all the limitations except generating at least one pattern null to reduce satellite interference.

However, the preceding limitation is known in the art of communications. Dent teaches creating nulls pattern can employed as a bonus to reduce interference (col. 50, lines 1-8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have implemented the teaching of Dent within the system of Karabinis in order to have used a negative weights to create nulls in the radiation pattern at desired places or otherwise to reduce the sidelobe levels in order to increase the C/I.

Regarding claim 7, Karabinis teaches that satellite user of unit 34d and terrestrial user of unit 34 are in the same area (28) but the frequency band associated with each one can be different (col. 4, lines 55-56).

Karabinis fails to teach adding pattern nulls at the terrestrial site to block interference.

However, the preceding limitation is known in the art of communications. Dent teaches creating nulls pattern can employed as a bonus to reduce interference (col. 50, lines 1-8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have implemented the teaching of Dent within the system of Karabinis in order to have used a negative weights to create nulls in the radiation pattern at desired places or otherwise to reduce the sidelobe levels in order to increase the C/I.

Art Unit: 2681

Double Patenting

Page 5

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting 6. over claims 1-4, 8, 17, 108, and 117 of U. S. Patent No. 6,735,437 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: A method of minimizing interference between terrestrial user communications and satellite user communications, comprising: reversing the transmission and reception frequency bands for the terrestrial user relative to the satellite user; and generating at least one pattern null with an antenna to reduce satellite interference.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of Application/Control Number: 10/623,085

Art Unit: 2681

the application which matured into a patent. See In re Schneller, 397 F.2d 350, 158

USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Conclusion

Page 6

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jean A Gelin whose telephone number is (703) 305-

4847. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (703) 306-0003. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JGelin March 18, 2005 JEAN GELIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Allond (Eli